the NEXT
(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 521

.....19..20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause xii of the schedule)

Question of the continuance of the temporary Munsiff's Courts at Serangapatam and Tumkur.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C S Balasubramanyam

Chief Secretary to Government.

16th March 1920.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council. 1193

6000-5-3
17-3-1920

Second Member of Council. 18.3.20

Third Member of Council. Seen.

G. R. S. III—1] 191 7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Ct.)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Re Commencement of the Temporary Munsiffs' Courts at Srivaikuntam

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

1920

2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191

Serial No.	
1	To, The Registrar, Chief Court of Burma No. no. G. 14907 Dy 2-1-1920. Requests the opinion of the Chief Court in the matter of abolishing temporary Municipl' Courts at Seinga-paleam & Tamilan after the expiry of the tem- Sanctioned for and in lieu of- re-arranging the territorial Jurisdiction of the several Courts with a view to obviate the necessity of existing Courts [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191

Serial No.

From Mr. G. Srinivasa Iyer, Regr., Ch. Ct.
D.O. No. 87 D 10.1.1920

Re continuance of temporary Munsiffs Court at Seringapatam.

(1) A State must may be called for at once showing the number of suits & small cause suits entered and disposed pending in the Court of the Munsiff of Seringapatam when on the final establishment of the additional Court, the number disposed & those then by each of the Courts and the number now pending. The last quarterly State must showing the number of suits filed, disposed & pending in all the Munsiffs' Courts in the State may also be called for.

(2) As for the hands of the Court of the Munsiff Nanjangud that Court may be avoided, & the Court to dispose of appointments of the Munsiffs & Members vacancies in the Munsiffs' Courts - Either by Registrar or Munsiffs & Members working in the Courts and acting in their places when they proceed on leave &c.

(3) The Court may require the expression of views of the Judges for having separate buildings for the Court to expedite the disposal of cases.

(4) The Court may also be asked whether the file of the Seringapatam Court was closed & about the time each of the following pleaders took charge of the Munsiff's Court.

(1) Mr. P. Balakrishna
(2) Mr. Srinivasa Raghavachar
(3) Mr. Thimmaiah
(4) Mr. Narasimha Rao -

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

11/1/20

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

1) Draft D.O. is submitted.
hm
12/1/20

6) Issue
CSK
12/1/20

To The Registrar, Dist Ct
D.O. 975 dt 12.1.1920.

Re the continuance of the temporary addl. Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam requests that (1) a statement of original & small cause suits, contested and non-contested, pending in the court of the Munsiff of Seringapatam at the time of opening a temporary addl. Court &c. (2) and another showing the No. of suits in the file of the Seringapatam Munsiff's Court when the following Officers were in ch: of the Court:-

(1) Mr. P. Mahadevayya
(2) " Srinivasaraghavalkar
(3) " Timmalapur
(4) " T. Venkoba Rao

together with the last quarterly statement showing the suits filed and pending in all the Munsiff's Courts in the State.

7) A draft Rem: submitted
HAK hm
12/1/1920

8) Issue
CSK
20/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C. B. File No. 37 of 1919.

Serial No.	
2	From, The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore No. R.O.C 735/19-20 & 12/19 dt. 16th Feb'y 1920 rec'd on 21-2-1920. States that the Judges of the Chief Court are of opinion (from the data furnished & enclosed herein) that the Temporary Court at Seringapatam must be retained at least for one more year (up to the end of May, next year) and that pending the question of making the temporary Munsiff's Court at Srirangapatam permanent it is desirable to sanction its continuance also for a further period of two years & requests sanction for the Mysore District Judges' letter & the statements are also sent. (1) Submitted for orders

SECRETARIAT III PROTECTION BRANCH. 5 JAN 1920

Nov 1919 3-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. 20/1/1920 III Member

(6

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ct File No. 119 of 1917.

Serial No.

11) I do not think that the State of Pudukkottai Munsif's Court possesses the Continuance of the 2nd Establishment of additional Munsif's Court at Trichinopoly. When the 2nd Court was established the pending file was about 921 original suits. Since then it has been reduced to 636 original suits which is the normal file for several Courts in the State. For example the pending file of the 1st Munsif's Court Pudukkottai is 825 of the 2nd Munsif's Court 682. The pending file of the 1st Munsif's Court Aranthangi is 706 and that of the 2nd Munsif's Court is 603. The 1st Munsif's Court Alangudi and the Munsif's Court Narimangalam have each a file of 734 and 666 respectively. The two Munsifs at Trichinopoly can therefore be easily disposed of. In the year 1916 the 1st Munsif was disposed of 241 contested original suits and the 2nd Munsif 262 suits of the same nature. These numbers include the cases disposed of by compromise, by default and by reference to arbitration after settlement issues

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(7)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

so that I do not think that Courts of Inquiry have worked more than 15 days a month. If the amount of work turned out by the Inquiry is so small, I do not think that we will be able to abolish the Circle temporary Courts established to cover enquiries in any particular Court. The tendency is when once a temporary court is given to make it permanent. The Inquiry Court in one of his letters stated that the Additional Court at Cuddapah would have had the undisposed contested, suspended cases asked for its continuance for another year. Nothing in the Inquiry Courts Act to prevent an additional Court is needed at Cuddapah, there should not be Extra Courts established in these places like Nagercoil and Nanjanad where the pending files of the permanent Courts is more than at Cuddapah. Since the additional Court at Cuddapah has been practically abolished there is no necessity to reestablish

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(98

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

12) The proposal that Mr. Cook should be allowed additional Municipal Councillorship for another period of two years may be accepted. The term is legal for two months and is heavy for a Municipality. In the mean time Mr. Cook may be asked to effect some retrenchment by a proper readjustment of the Municipal President's and Municipal Councils—

Mehta
4/3

13) May be considered in Council if the Dewan approves—

14) Mr. Nagesh may be informed in the above terms for the present.

Mehta

15) Cancelled 13.3.20
CSK
16/3/20.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 522nd *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 23rd March 1920.

8. Subject. - Clause xii of the schedule.

Question of continuing the temporary Munsiff's Courts at Serangapatam and Tumkur.

Opinion of the Council.

The additional court in Tumkur may be continued for another two years.

The case of the additional court at Seringapatam may be further considered in consultation with the Chief Court.

(Signed) M. Kantaraj Urs,
Dewan of Mysore.

Note. - Put up D.O. to Chief Court re. Seringapatam Court.

"EXTRACT'

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1148—GPB—1,000—23-12-18

G. R. S. III-2]

C. 52/1920 (10)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

24/ Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

15) Forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government, for concurrence or remarks if any.

By order,

C Balasundaram
Chief Secretary to Govt. 17/2/20

27.2.20

13) To the Asst. Secretary, Protection Branch, for kindly submitting the file to the Third Member with a draft Final Note.

28/2/20

20) Memo sent up. 29.2.20.

D. M.
2/3/20

05
2/3

21) Submitted with a D.F. N & D.O.N. as proposed above

3/4/1920

22) Memo sent up as typed

29.2.20.

D III.
2/4

05
7/4
9/4

23) Submitted with the typed D.F.N

9/4/1920

24) Submitted with D.F. N.

III Member

25) Signed D.F. N approved

13/4

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

5623
14/4/20

12

Final Note by the Third Member of Council.

(Cat)-89).

Subject:-

Question of the continuance of the temporary Munsiffs' Courts at Seringapatam and Tumkur.

The work in the Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam having fallen into arrears an additional court was sanctioned as a temporary measure to relieve congestion of work in the permanent court (vide Government Order No.J.4060-2/Cts.162-17-4 dated 5th April 1918.) On the appointment of Mr.T.Venkoba Rao, First Munsiff, Seringapatam as Special Magistrate, 1st grade, in December 1919 it was thought desirable to take advantage of that opportunity, in view of the financial stringency, to investigate the need for the continuance of the additional court. It was accordingly suggested to the Chief Court that pending the settlement of this question, no fresh appointment of Munsiff need be made in place of Mr.T.Venkoba Tao and that the Munsiff in charge of the temporary Court at Seringapatam might be asked to look after the work of both the Courts. In view of the shrinkage in revenue the Chief Court were also requested separately, in accordance with the Council Resolution on the subject, to intimate what retrenchments they would suggest in the Judicial Department. Particular reference was made in the second communication to the existence of two temporary Munisffs' Courts - one at Seringapatam and the other at Tumkur-and the opinion of the Judges was called for as to whether these Courts might not be abolished after the expiry of their sanctioned term in May next.

2. The Chief Court stated in reply that the temporary Court at Seringapatam would have to be continued for an indefinite period, but their attention being called to the state of file of the two

13

courts, they want the temporary Court at Seringapatam to be continued for a further period of one year from May next. As regards the temporary Court at Tumkur, they stated that pending consideration of the proposal of the District Judge, Bangalore Division, to make the Court permanent with a separate territorial jurisdiction, it was absolutely necessary to continue the Court for at least two years more in the first instance.

3. After carefully considering the whole question, and on a comparison of the statistics of work done in the various Munsiffs' Courts in the State, I recorded at length my views in the file to the effect that the additional court at Seringapatam might be abolished and that the one at Tumkur might be continued for two years more.

4. The matter was considered at a meeting of the Council and they are of opinion that the additional Court at Tumkur may be continued for another two years and that the case of the additional court at Seringapatam may be further considered in consultation with the Chief Court.

5. The opinion of the Council may be graciously approved.

Mir Hamza Hussain
MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

13th April 1920.

Submitted to His Highness.

42/30

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Third *Member of Council on the subject of* the question of continuance of the temporary Munsiffs' Courts at Seringapatam and Tumkur, *for His Highness' gracious approval.*

16th April 1920

Dewan.

STATEMENT OF CASES SUBMITTED FOR THE ORDERS OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA DURING THE TOUR OUTSIDE THE STATE IN....April..1920.....

Serial No.	Subject	Recommendation of Council, & Member of Government in charge, ~~as the case may be~~	Dewan's recommendation	Orders of His Highness	Orders regarding submission of files (*vide* foot-note)
43) 1064	Question of continuance of the temporary Munsiffs' Courts at Seringapatam and Tumkur.	The additional Court at Tumkur may be continued for another two years. The case of the additional Court at Seringapatam may be further considered in consultation with the Chief Court. The opinion of the Council may be graciously approved.	The recommendation of the Third Member may be graciously approved.	31) Rs 10.5.20 Ch. Lay	C Mz Achbal 29.4.20

NOTE.—The letter a, b or c may kindly be inserted by the Private Secretary to His Highness in the last column to indicate whether—

File may be submitted at once ... ... a
File may be submitted after His Highness' return ... ... b
File may not be submitted at all c

WD 2272—GPB —500—5-3-20

16

C. 521

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/5 File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

32) Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before / after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

C S Balasundaram 13/5

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government,

.....Department.

33) FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 17.5

377 / 15/5/20

7019-227 / 14.5.20

34) SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL Rees 15.5.20

6392 / 13.5.20

35) THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 14/5

36) Draft please 17/5 Dm 18/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

1580 17

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/, File No. of 191.

Serial No.

37) Submitted with 2 drafts:-
(1) Draft G.O. sanctioning the continuance of the Munsiff Court for another 2 years.
(2) Draft D.O. letter requesting the Registrar, to forward the views of the Judges in the matter of continuing the Munsiff Court at Srungapatam — for approval

38) The G.O re the continuance of the Second Court at Tumkur has to be issued immediately, as the sanctioned term expires on the 24th instant.

39) Since the period expires on 24.5.20 the G.O. may be hereby ordered to be issued. A revised G.O. also be prepared after the G.O. is issued D. M. 20/5

Chief Secy
III Member

40) Issue & submit to Members for perusal.

21/5
D. M. 21/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 149 of 1919.

Serial No.	
3	To the Registrar, Chief Court. I am directed to forward herewith Comptroller Mrs. Jail Sheet. No. Ca. 26312-3 of 21.5.20. Directs that the Second Summer Sitting of the Chief Court may be at Jammu be continued on a temporary footing for a further period of two years from 25.5.1920.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

17.5.6.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 11 of 1919.

1540 / 19

Serial No.

41) 55) F.D. was not consulted.
Draft & Fair are put up for orders
Was there provision in the Currency Comm. Report for the Trichur addl. cmdt.?
Warm proper suggested for the next year.
Mr. H.V. Nicholl on the Case
18/21/5.

F 2860/19

Box 21/5 MR

42 50) Subject
The number of permanent Munsiffs Courts is 19. Including the two temporary Courts at Trichur and Seringapatam the no: is 21 for which provn is made in the budget for 1920-21. Please see flag C in the Budget file put up.
HOD 22/5/20.

1 Fort Clerk
2 Dist Munsiff Courts - Salaries
3575/22/5

43 49) Done MR 22/5/20

44 50) Issued 22/5

45 51) With reference to para (51) above, Submitted with a revised draft D.O. for approval

KB 24/5/1920 46 52) The reasons urged by the Chief Comm. are far from convincing.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 149 of 1919.

Serial No.

the fact no reasons have been furnished for the establishing the Court, and there is greater reason for abolishing the Court than for retaining it, since the total no. of civil suits in both the Courts does not exceed 61).

Draft G.O. to the Registrar & put up for consid^n.

No Cyc. for continuing the add^l Court at Tumkur has been issued under the orders of the Chief Secy as the matter was very urgent.

D.M. 26/5.

6674 27.5.20

III Member

4759) No provision has been made in the Estimates for the additional Munsif's Court. Let it be sanctioned for two years and the period suspended in the Monthly ? May last. The Civil Court have not made any representation till now except in the Estimates for the Establishment. There is no use ? the question again & if the Court is re-established there will be much difficulty in finding

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

C. 720

21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 149 of 1919.

Serial No.

his money for his Sons. The allotment for his Sons has been utilised for his Establishment & his Dewanyi Menage Cost. Should there be any request & reconsideration of Council resolution of his 23d March & may be decided upon his representation already available that his Sons may be allotted.

Chief 1/6

4-6-20 May be considered at next meeting of Council in 4th Instt

Dewan

4-6-20

4-6-20 Agreed

4.6.20

5/6 Include in report

5/6

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUN 3 1920
Reg. No. 7989
(D.O.C. N° 121)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3

Urgent List. C-720

"D" - 4.

51/65) Question of the continuance of the Temporary Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam.

...

Opinion of the Council.

52 (64) Note
Consult Ch. Ct.

24/6.

53/65) Do the Asst. Secy. proln Branch for necessary action under Member's orders.

25/6

III Member

8189 25.3.20 54/66) Submitted draft memo to put up submitted through him if necessary

26/6

54/66) Submd for orders

25/6

Draft to Reg[r] 167

1) Facts + figures as upheld by the Members to be mentioned.

2) The fact that the Court has been discontinued, & that only 1 Municipality is presided + so many months to be mentioned

3) Whether the ~~work~~ cannot be managed by Committees of as ~~Lunacy~~ Experienced Chair. Municipalities is needed to be emphasized.

4) Even if Civil Ch is in favour of continuing

... the Ch, the fact
that no provision
has been made in
the Budget next
year to be provided
out of which
they could borrow
any specific
sources in case
they recommend to
the opinion of the Ch

5') Specific Bureau
of financial Strings
... also to be
pressed to
... Ch ...

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 149 of 1919.

1540/ 24

Serial No.

5) 6) No Supplo will ordinarily be applied to Suitable Supplo bills to the Registrar, one bill being entered by me in the two Ships of papers.

D. M.
28/6

6) 7) Subd. with a draft as per instruction

Revised draft Subd.

KR 30/6/1920

6) 7) Reference is invited to pages 104 & 105 of the Draft Budget for 1920-21 which seem to show that provision has been made for the 2nd Court at Tellicherry also. Under 'Dt and Civil Courts' there is provision for the pay of 21 Munsiffs and under Chief Court there is separate provision for 'The Dy Regr'. It is also seen that the sum of Rs 9287 granted for the estt of the Davenport Court includes the pay of the Munsiff. The Dist Collr may

App 3/7

6) 7) Supplo bill to the Regr is submitted for approl.

D. M.
2/7

Chief Secy

III Member

220
8/7/20

6) 7) Approved C.S.
D. M. 8/7

6) 7) Issue 9/7
C.S.
9/7 D. M. 9/7

I discussed this point with the U.S. Finl & he is also of the same opinion.
D. M.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 149 of 1919.

1520 / 1527 / 25

Serial No.	
4	To The Registrar, Chief Court. No. G. 795 dt 10th July 1920. Requests to furnish the views of the judges on certain points re: the non-continuance of the temporary munsiff's Court @ Seringapatam
5.	From, The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore R. Dis no 4/1920-21 dt 26/27-7-1920 rec'd 28-7-1920 Submits the views of the Judges of the Chief Court in the matter of re-establishing the additional Court at Seringapatam.
64 76)	It is stated that the litigant public will be put to much inconvenience by the abolition of the Second Court at Seringapatam: but they will have to put up with it in Consideration of the financial Stringency. They have also stated that a Senior Munsiff will be posted there after the Dasara & proposals renewed after a year, if necessity arises.
65 77)	Subd. for orders.
	28/7/20 dt 28/7 66 78) No Chief Court have agreed not to press for the re-opening of the 2nd Court at present & to move the Govt after one year if there

CHIEF / PROTECTION BR mail 28 JUL 1920

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 469 of 1919.

Serial No.

776/30-7-20

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on AUG 2 1920
Reg. No. 726

considered is necessary. Hence the question may perhaps be dropped for the present.

67) This may perhaps be submitted to the Dewan.

D. M. 2917

Chief Secy
III Member
Dewan

68) Chief Secy 30/7

69) Mr. 31/7 D. M. 3077 D. M. 218

70) Chief Secy 3.8.20

71) This may be put up on 25.6.1921 D. M. 548

Bring Bk 25/6/21 20.6.21

2 AUG 1920

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Ch.149—19-1 Confidential. 27

D. O. No. G 14907. Dy 2.1.20.

To

The Registrar,

Chief Court.

Dear Sir,

I am desired to state that, in view of the sudden shrinkage of revenue due to the rise in the rate of exchange, it is proposed to effect large retrenchments of expenditure and that it is expected that the Heads of Departments will co-operate with the Government in tiding over the present financial crisis. I am accordingly to request you to intimate what retrenchments the Chief Court would suggest in the Judicial Department.

I am in this connection to invite particular attention to the existence of two temporary Munsiffs' Courts - one at Srirangapatam and the other at Tumkur - and to request that Government may be favoured with the opinion of the Chief Court as to whether these additional courts may not be abolished after the expiry of their present sanctioned term in May next, and the territorial jurisdiction of the several courts suitably readjusted so as to equalise the work and obviate the necessity of establishing such temporary courts.

D.O.No.87.

IMMEDIATE.

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, the 10th January 1920.

Sub 2nd member
4/1/20
11/1/20

DEAR SIR,

Your official letter No.G.14840/Cts.139-19-2 dated the 30th December 1919, suggesting that no fresh appointment of Munsiff need be made in connection with the posting of Mr.T.Venkoba Rao as First Grade Magistrate, was received during Christmas, several days after the issue of orders by the Chief Court making certain consequential transfers and a fresh emergent appointment (in anticipation of sanction of Government to the nomination made), as intimated in my letter C.No.673 dated 22nd December 1919.

Mr. U.D.Narayana Murti had as a matter of fact proceeded to Sagar and assumed charge of the Munsiff's Court there when, in deference to the suggestion made in your letter and pending a contemplated request for reconsideration he was instructed by a communication on the 2nd January 1920 to hand over charge to the Head Munshi of the Court.

Apart from the disappointment and injury to practice (not to speak of the humiliation) caused to an Advocate in active practice by his sudden recall in the circumstances referred to, - a matter about which Mr.Narayana Murti has made a representation to the Chief Court, - the Judges wish to point out the dislocation of work and inconvenience to litigants likely to be caused by the sudden stoppage of business in a Court, which, even if temporary, will in the ordinary course run on for several months more.

As a matter of fact, the data available point to the necessity for the further continuance of the temporary Court at Seringapatam for an indefinite period, and to begin with, at least for a further period of one year from the end

of May next; the District Judge of Mysore, who was consulted in the matter, strongly represents the necessity for such continuance; and an official reference recommending the retention of the Court will be made to Government in the course of a few days.

In the meantime, as work is suffering not only at Seringapatam, but also at Sagar(owing to the Munsiff there having already been transferred to Nanjangud, and the one at the latter place who has been asked to take his place suddenly falling ill), I am desired to request that the Government will kindly pass very early orders sanctioning the proposal already made in my letter of the 23rd December 1919.

Yours sincerely,

REGISTRAR.

C.S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, ESQ., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Immediate
Confidential D.O. 975/CB Dt 12/1/20 31

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.letter No.87 of the 10th instant regarding the arrangements in the Judicial Department in connection with the posting of Mr.T. Venkoba Rao, Munsiff, Seringapatam as First Grade Magistrate, I am desired to convey the thanks of Government to the Judges of the Chief Court for having cooperated with the Government in their desire to effect retrenchments.

2. As for the hardship caused to Mr.U.D.Narayana Murthi, I am to observe that it can be easily avoided, if the Chief Court so desires, by appointing him to one of the acting vacancies in the Munsiffs grade - either as Deputy Registrar of the Chief Court or Munsiff of Tumkur - reverting one of the officers now acting in these places to his permanent appointment.

3. Regarding the continuance of the temporary additional Munsiff's Court at Sirangapatam, I am desired to request that information on the following points may be kindly furnished early:--

(1) A statement showing the number of original and small cause suits contested and non-contested ~~suits~~ pending in the Court of the Munsiff of Seringpatam at the time of the establishment of the temporary additional Court, the number disposed of since then by each of the Courts and the number pending in each.

and(2) The number of suits in the file of the Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam at or about the time the following officers took charge of the Court:--

(a) Mr.P.Mahadevayya.
(b) ,, Srinivasaraghavachar.
(c) ,, Tirumalachar.
(d) ,, T.Venkoba Rao.

4. The last quarterly statement showing the number of suits filed, disposed of and pending in all the Munsiffs' Courts in the State may also be forwarded for the information of Government.

Yours sincerely,

12/1/20

To

G.Srinivasa Iyer Esq., B.A., M.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

12/1/20

33

R.O.C.NO.735/1919-20.

Bangalore,

Dated 12th February 1920.

From

G. Sreenivasa Iyer, Esq., B.A., M.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.G. 14840/ Cts. 139-19-2 dated the 30th December 1919, requesting the opinion of the Judges of the Chief Court regarding the continuance of the temporary Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam, and your D.O.letter No.975 C.E. dated 12th January 1920, requesting certain information regarding the work of two Munsiffs' Courts there, I am directed to submit the statements furnishing the required information, and to state that the Judges consider that the data furnished point clearly to the necessity for the further continuance of the temporary court at least for a further period of one year from the end of May next. The District Judge of Mysore who was consulted in the matter strongly urges the necessity for such continuance and a copy of his letter is herein enclosed for reference.

I am also to submit in this connection a statement showing institutions, disposals and pendency of suits in the

two

two Munsiffs' Court at Tumkur. The District Judge has strongly urged the retension of the additional court on a permanent basis and the allotment to it of a separate territorial jurisdiction. This question will be considered separately; but meanwhile it is in the opinion of the Judges absolutely necessary that it should be continued for at least two years more in the first instance.

I am to request that sanction may be granted very early for the continuance of both the temporary courts at Seringapatam and Tumkur as above recommended, and that necessary provision may be made for the same in the budget for the next year.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

Tks.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF SUITS AND CASES INSTI

COURT OF THE MUNSIFF, SERINGAPATAM, during the 10 years fro

Year	ORIGINAL			SMALL	CAUS
	Instituted	Disposed of	Pending	Instituted	Disposed
1909-10					
1910-11					
1911-12	1045	1054	516	519	511
1912-13	934	920	575	375	417
1913-14	1151	1058	691	450	415
1914-15	1088	1164	637	485	455
1915-16	1318	1181	802	536	521
1916-17	1341	1199	921	612	551
1917-18					
1st Court.	1093	1431	365	390	583
2nd Court.	367 | 1460	130 | 1561	444 | 809	119 | 509	49 | 632
1918-19:					
1st Court.	755	821	300	303	283
	| 1514	| 1686	| 634	| 605	| 587
2nd Court.	759	865	334	302	304

Note. Institutions do not include refiled and remanded cases, but und
and remanded cases are included.

Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore,
Dated 12th February 1920.

Tks.

TED, DISPOSED OF AND PENDING IN THE

1909-10 to 1918-19.

	EXECUTION		Miscellaneous including insolvency.		Remarks.
f Pending	Institu-ted	Disposed of.	Insti-tuted	Disposed of.	
130	1857	1550	258	241	Mr. P. Mahadevayya(22-10-1910 to 25-5-1913).
92	1537	1620	365	365	
131	1285	1407	345	335	Mr. A. Srinivasa Raghavachar
116	1449	1418	297	317	(26-5-1913 to 24-9-1916)
127	1588	1402	366	341	
244	1444	1568	366	354	Mr.S.Ramachandra Sastri (25-9-1916 to 13-5-1917).
52 / 79 \ 131	1499	1341	354	326	Mr.M.D.Tirumalachar(14-5-1917 to 8-10-1917). Mr.C. Subramanya Iyer, (12-10-1917 to 26-5-1918).
72 \ 152	1612	1494			Mr.T.Venkoba Rao.(27-5-1918 to 22-12-1919).
80 /	-	-	379	396	(Mr. C.Subramanya Iyer was second Munsiff from 27-5-1918 to 22-12-1919).

r 'disposed of' and 'pending' refiled

Registrar.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF SUITS AND CASES INSTITUTED, DISPOSED OF AND PENDING IN THE COURT OF MUNSIFF, TUMKUR, DURING THE 10 Years from 1909-10 to 1918-19.

Year	Original.			Small Cause			Execution		Miscellaneous including insolvency	
	Instituted	Disposed of	Pending	Instituted	Disposed of	Pending	Instituted	Disposed of	Instituted	Disposed of
1909-10	1202	943	624	687	617	216				
1910-11	1199	1133	690	797	754	259				
1911-12	1400	1346	744	910	907	262				
1912-13	1262	1293	713	647	779	130	1838	1772	-	-
1913-14	1370	1174	976	734	684	201	1989	2085	657	462
1914-15:										
1st Court.	1102	997	558	606	581	133	1778	1793	440	409
2nd Court.	97 / 1199	69 / 1066	570 / 1128	80 / 686	44 / 625	137 / 270				
1915-16:										
1st Court.	690	764	559	388	424	118	2150	1984	387	413
2nd Court.	690 / 1380	752 / 1516	516 / 1075	387 / 775	401 / 825	129 / 247			67 / 454	39 / 452
1916-17:										
1st Court.	813	824	595	412	429	114	2326	2411	409	421
2nd Court.	812 / 1625	866 / 1690	489 / 1084	412 / 824	439 / 868	110 / 224			85 / 494	89 / 510
1917-18:										
1st Court.	735	855	507	371	414	77	2351	2389	404	405
2nd Court.	732 / 1467	813 / 1668	433 / 940	371 / 742	382 / 796	106 / 183			79 / 483	69 / 474
1918-19:										
1st Court.	891	809	628	533	467	151	1475	1829	345	358
2nd Court.	888 / 1779	817 / 1626	526 / 1154	533 / 1066	503 / 970	142 / 293	1287 / 2762	899 / 2728	193 / 538	150 / 508

Institutions do not include refiled and remanded cases, but under 'disposed of' and 'pending', refiled and remanded cases are included.

Registrar.

STATISTICS RELATING TO THE SERINGAPATAM MUNSIFF'S COURTS. (THE SECOND COURT WAS STARTED FROM 27th May 1918).

Pending on the data of establishment of the second court.						Disposed of.										Pending in the								
Original			Small Cause				By the First Munsiff						By the Second Munsiff						1st Munsiff's Court.			2nd Munsiff's Court.				
							Original			Small Cause			Original			Small Cause			Original			Small Cause Original			Small Cause		
Contested	Uncontested	Total.	Contested.	Uncontested	Total.	Year.	Contested	Uncontested	Total	Contested	Uncontested	Total.	Contested	Uncontested	Total.	Contested	Uncontested	Total.	Contested	Uncontested	Total.	Contested	Uncontested	Total	Contested	Uncontested	Total.
						1917-18	286	1145	1431	68	515	583	40*	90	130	6	43	49									
						1918-19	241	580	821	31	252	283	262	603	865	31	273	304									
						1919-20 I quarter	78	219	297	13	115	128	72	257	329	16	111	127		308*			50			309			65

* In 1917-18 the Second Court worked for only a little over a month, from 27-5-1918 to 30-6-1918.

* It is not possible to say among the pending suits, which are contested and which are uncontested.

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 12th February 1920.

E Srinivasaiyangar

Registrar.

Tks.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF ORIGINAL AND SMALL CAUSE SU
DURING THE FIRST QUARTER OF 1919-20, AND PENDING AT TH
SEVERAL MUNSIFFS' COURTS IN THE STATE.

Name of Court.	Original Suits.						Small Cause			
	Pending from the previous quarter.	Instituted during the quarter.	Refiled etc.	Total for disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending at the end of the quarter.	Pending from the previous quarter.	Instituted during the quarter	Refiled, etc.	Total for disposal.
First Munsiff's Court, Bangalore.	764	231	21	1016	191	825	299	392	8	699
Second Munsiff's Court, Bangalore.	655	273	10	938	256	682	185	214	2	401
Munsiff's Court, Dodballapur.	400	191	13	604	209	395	94	112	3	209
Munsiff's Court, Kolar	369	319	15	703	342	361	108	208	5	321
Munsiff's Court, K.G.F.	198	241	2	441	229	212	415	853	5	1273
" " Chikballapur	528	269	8	805	270	535	125	184	-	309
First Munsiff's Court, Tumkur.	628	379	16	1023	317	706	151	206	2	359
Second Munsiff's Court, Tumkur.	526	378	14	918	315	603	142	206	2	350
Munsiffs' Court, Maddagiri.	403	268	8	679	265	414	94	166	2	262
First Munsiff's Court, Mysore.	762	311	3	1076	342	734	194	194	3	391
Second Munsiff's Court, Mysore.	450	359	8	817	338	479	86	130	4	220
First Munsiff's Court, Seringapatam.	323	267	16	606	298	308	75	102	1	178
Second Munsiff's Court, Seringapatam.	357	268	13	638	329	309	84	101	7	192
Munsiff's Court, Nanjangud	655	456	16	1127	461	666	63	138	2	203
Do., Hole-Narsipur	471	558	9	1038	566	472	114	184	1	299
Do., Hassan. ..	439	437	14	890	443	447	100	219	3	322
Do., Shimoga. ..	374	196	13	583	198	385	-	-	-	-
Do., Chitaldrug	803	689	17	1509	560	949	179	291	1	471
Do., Chikmagalur	566	247	6	819	216	603	136	115	1	252
Do., Sagar.	275	211	35	521	241	280	50	76	5	131
Do., Narasimharajapura.	285	144	11	440	201	239	25	67	2	94

Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore,
12th February 1920.

Tks.

TO FOR DISPOSAL, AND DISPOSED OF

END OF THAT QUARTER, IN THE

its.		
of.	Pending at the end of the quarter.	Remarks.
81	313	
315	193	
24	85	
09	112	
86	487	
40	169	
94	165	
88	162	
1	101	
2	209	
5	75	
28	50	
7	65	
55	68	
8	101	
6	113	
	-	
2	259	
7	145	
6	55	
5	39	

Registrar.

Letter No.2695/357 dated 5/6th January 1920 from the District Judge of Mysore to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

*****

With reference to your D.O.No.83 of 1919-20 dated 2nd January 1920, I have the honour to state that the continuance of the Second Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam for a further period of at least one year is absolutely necessary for reducing the pending file of Seringapatam court, for the following reasons.--

Statement No.I shows the statistics of that court for a period commencing from the year 1913-14 and ending with 1st January 1918. From this it is clear that the institutions, disposals as also the pending file of that court had been on a steady increase every year. These figures relate to the state of the file of that court before the establishment of the Second Munsiff's court there. It was on account of the increase of the pending file of that court that the establishment of a Second court was recommended.

Statement No.II shows the state of file of each of the courts now working there. Despite the work of the two Munsiffs the present pending file of both the courts together is as follows:--

Original.	Small Causes.
604	140

Out of the suits now pending in each of the courts 153 Original and 9 Small Causes in the First court and 129 Original and 14 Small Causes in the Second Court are contested ones.

Taking both the courts together the number of contested suits now pending is 305. At the time when the Second court

court was established there were about 500 contested suits. This has during the course of nearly two years been reduced by only 195. Notwithstanding the fact that two Munsiffs have been working for nearly two years the result has not been satisfactory, and the pending file has not been reduced to normal condition.

There are many cases more than three or four years old pending decision. It is impossible for a single Munsiff to clear the arrears having regard to the heavy current work.

Under these circumstances I am in favour of the continuance of the Second Munsiff's court for a year more at least.

Sd/- B. Nagappa,

District Judge.

"C O P Y"

Srinivasa

Registrar.

Tks.

BE

NO.I.

Years.	Original			Small Cause.			Execution.			Miscellaneous		
	Filed.	Disposed of	Pend-ing.	Filed	Disposed of.	Pend-ing.	Filed	Disposed of.	Pend-ing.	Filed	Disposed of.	Pend-ing.
1913-14	1174	1058	691	454	415	131	1285	1407	836	345	327	111
1914-15	1110	1164	637	490	455	166	1449	1418	867	289	308	92
1915-16	1346	1181	802	538	521	183	1588	1402	1053	357	330	119
1916-17	1380	1232	950	620	557	246	1446	1568	927	362	349	132
1917-18 upto 1st January 1918.	807	858	899	275	372	149	818	615	1132	190	175	147

Sd/- B. Nagappa,

District Judge, 6-1-20.

"C O P Y"

Registrar.

Tks.

NO. II.

Period.	First Munsiff's Court, Seringapatam.						Second Munsiff's Court, Seringapatam.					
	Original.			Small Cause.			Original.			Small Cause.		
	Filed	Disposed of.	Pending.	Filed	Disposed of.	Pending.	Filed	Disposed of.	Pending.	Filed	Disposed of.	Pending.
From June 1918 to December 1919 (both inclusive).	1356	1464	297	543	550	60	1359	1513	307	552	552	80

COPY

Sd/- B. Nagappa,

District Judge.

6-1-20.

Registrar.

Tks.

G.R.S. VI–71]

Comp no 28540 & 22/5. 2 Drafts (1)

SUBJECT..... Sanctioning the Continuance of the Temporary Munsiffs Court at Dunkur for another two years.

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 15447

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 21/5/20

Signed by Mr P. M.

Section Ch

File No. 14

Serial No. 3

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

G.O. no. J. 4131-2/Ch 157-17-4 dt the 9th April 1918 Sanctioning the Continuance of the Second Munsiffs Court at Dunkur for a further period of 2 years from the 24th May 1918.

2) Correspondence ending with letter no. R-OC no. 735/19-20 dt 12/17th Feb'y/20 from the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, recommending that the said Court may be continued for two years from the 25th May 1920, pending further arrangements to make it permanent with a distinct &

May this be

i Printed ?

treated as a standing order ?

published in the Gazette, English Part ?

translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

~~Order Thereon—No.~~

P.T.O.

Separate Territorial Jurisdiction.

Order no: Ty 26312-13.

Dy 21.5.20.

Government are pleased to direct that the Second Munsiff's Court at Dumka be continued on the temporary footing for a further period of two years from 28-5-1920.

2. Separate proposals may be made for the additional Clerical establishment, if any, needed for the Court.

List of enclosures.

CSK
21/5

Pay Dy
For Chief Secy

To the Registrar, Chief Court
" Comptroller to Govt. through the Finl. Secy

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB -30,000 -17-3 19

Extra 43

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

*****

R e a d :-

Government Order No. J. 4131-2/Cts. 157-17-4 dated the 9th April 1918 sanctioning the continuance of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur for a further period of 2 years from the 24th May 1918.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. R.O.C. No. 735/19-20 dated 12/17th February 1920 from the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, recommending that the said Court may be continued for two years from the 25th May 19~~18~~20, pending further arrangements to make it permanent with distinct and separate Territorial Jurisdiction.

Order No G 2631 2-3 Dated, Bangalore 21st May 1920
Ch 149-19-3.

Government are pleased to direct that the Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur be continued on a temporary footing for a further period of two years from 25th May 1920.

Mahadwappa
For Chief Secretary to Government.

To-The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore
The Comptroller to Government, through the Financial Secretariat.

K.N.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the 191 .

Date of issue..... 10/7

Signed by.....

Section Cants

File No. 168-15

Serial No. 4

No. 5795

To

The Registrar, Chief Court

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. R.OC 735/15-20 dt 12/17.2.1920 regarding the continuance of the temporary Munsiffs' Court at Seringapatam, I am directed to state that having regard to the present file of the Seringapatam Court as compared with the files of some of the other Courts (Arabic figures noted in the margin), Govt are inclined to think that there is no over.

(x)

Name of Court	No. of Original Suits pending
I Munsiffs' Court, Bangalore	825
II " " "	682
I " Tumkur	706
II " "	603
I " Mysore	734
Munsiffs' Court, Nanjangud	666
" Seringapatam	617

justification for the revival of the additional court which has, as a matter of fact, been practically abolished from Jan^y last, and that by posting an experienced and energetic Munsiff to the charge of the court the work can be kept under control until arrears ~~allowing~~ it to lapse into arrear.

2. I am also to emphasise the need, in view of the present financial stringency, to keep expenditure at the minimum consistent with efficiency and to add that the opening of a new court at Davangere was rendered possible by the expectation officially created of closing the additional court at Seringapatam.

3 There is further no provision made in the current year's budget for the additional Court and it may not be easy to find funds for meeting

List of enclosures.

[The draft Budget seems to contain provision for the 2nd Court at Seringapatam. I discussed this point with the Asst. Secy U.S. Finance & he is also of the same opinion. Hence this para is omitted. P.M. 11]

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—G1 F—80,000—19-3-19

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

~~the Cost of that Court if revised~~

3 * In these circumstances I am to request you to kindly place the above points ~~&c~~ before the Judges for a reconsideration of the whole question and to communicate their further views in the matter at an early date

I have &c
Chief Secy

R. D. No 4.
1920 - 21

47. 152
1919 - 1920
Ch
149 — 9
5

1920 - 21.

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore, dated 26th July '20
27th

From

G. SREENIVASA IYER, Esquire, B.A., M.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

To

C.S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. G, 795/Cts. 195-19-4, dated 10th July 1920, stating that the Government find no justification for the revival of the temporary Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam, and bringing to the consideration of the Judges certain points and requesting their further views in the matter, I am directed to state that if financial considerations prevent the retention of the Second Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam, those who have business in the civil courts in that place must accept the inconvenience along with other inconveniences incidental to the restriction of public expenditure. The Judges do not find that any appreciable relief can be given to Seringapatam by a redistribution of jurisdictions within the

the Mysore Division without over-loading other courts and remain of opinion that a Second Munsiff's Court is necessary if the work is to be done with anything approaching expedition. The number of pending cases on the 1st of April 1920 was 695, and though the number is doubtless larger in some other courts, that may suggest rather the desirability of relief to those courts than any lack of pressure on Seringapatam.

The Judges however will not at present press for the reopening of the Second Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam. They will post a senior munsiff to the present court after the Dasara holidays and will move the Government again after a year or so should it then seem to them necessary so to do.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.